

**ЛЬВІВСЬКИЙ НАЦІОНАЛЬНИЙ УНІВЕРСИТЕТ ІМЕНІ ІВАНА ФРАНКА
УКРАЇНСЬКИЙ КАТОЛИЦЬКИЙ УНІВЕРСИТЕТ
РАДА З ДОСЛІДЖЕННЯ ЦІННОСТЕЙ ТА ФІЛОСОФІЇ
THE COUNCIL FOR RESEARCH IN VALUES AND PHILOSOPHY
(ВАШИНГТОН, США)
СВІТОВА РАДА НАУКОВИХ ТОВАРИСТВ ім. Т. ШЕВЧЕНКА
ІНСТИТУТ СОЦІОГУМАНІТАРНИХ ПРОБЛЕМ ЛЮДИНИ
ЗНЦ НАН УКРАЇНИ**

МІЖНАРОДНА МІЖДИСЦИПЛІНАРНА КОНФЕРЕНЦІЯ

**«ЛЮДИНА ТА ЇЇ ІДЕНТИЧНІСТЬ
У ДОБУ ГЛОБАЛІЗАЦІЇ»**

**«THE IDENTITY OF THE PERSON
IN AN AGE OF GLOBALIZATION»**

29-30 червня 2010 р.

ЛЬВІВ (LVIV) – 2010

ЗАКЛЮЧНЕ ПЛЕНАРНЕ ЗАСІДАННЯ

ЛЮДСЬКА ОСОБА: ІДЕНТИЧНІСТЬ, ГЕНЕАЛОГІЯ, БІОГРАФІЯ

THE HUMAN PERSON: IDENTITY, GENEALOGY, BIOGRAPHY

Peter Koslowski (VU University Amsterdam)

The paper investigates features of the identity and nature of the human being as revelations of personality. It analyses the analogy between the theological concept of divine personality and the philosophical concept of the human person.

In Christianity, personal being is at the center of being. Christianity is the interpretation of the entire reality, of the *Gesamtwirklichkeit*, in such a way that personal being is primordial and primary.

I.

There is no religion or philosophy in history and in the present in which personal being plays a role more central. In Christianity, personal being is not only the unlikely consummation of the impersonal. It is not the unlikely but somehow realized highest stage of the evolution or development of the impersonal. Rather, reality has to be understood by starting from the primordial and primary personal being. Impersonal being is the somewhat derivative and incomplete mode of personal being.

This is the profound difference between the Christian personalist and the evolutionist impersonalist ontology. In the debate between these two ontologies, it is not the existence of evolutionary processes but the primacy of personal over impersonal being that is at stake. The point of disagreement between evolutionism and personalism is not whether there is and has been some evolution - this can clearly be granted by personalism. It cannot be granted, however, that personal being is only the accidental state of the nonliving and impersonal. For Christianity, personal being is the original and substantive state of being.

The personalism of Christianity does not only consist in the ontology of an eternally personal origin – this personalism of its idea of God it shares with Judaism and Islam. The personalism of Christianity is founded in the Trinitarian concept of God's personal being, in the idea of a personal creation, and in the idea of an incarnation in full person. The basic idea of Christianity is that being as such is the revelation or the coming forth of personal being, the *Offenbarung* as the Germans say, the becoming revealed and the becoming apparent to be perceived by oneself and by others of the personal God and of the personal human being.

II.

I shall try to approach the problem of the human personal being from the idea that personality is not, but reveals its being. Personal being is not like other being only being like a stone is. Rather, personal being reveals itself. Jakob Böhme is to be regarded as a founder of the idea that revelation or to reveal one-self is the

fundamental category of ontology and not only of theology. He subsumes God's trinitarian self-manifestation, God's becoming apparent in the creation as creator and God's self-revelation in the incarnation in Christ in the one concept of revelation or of becoming apparent. Böhme makes revelation the central concept of the theory of God's internal life, of ontology, and of the theory of history. He develops the theory of the total reality as the theory of God's self-revelation, *Selbstoffenbarung Gottes*. Reality is the self-revelation of personal being. Schelling and Baader followed Böhme in their "philosophies of revelation". Böhme's philosophical-theological narration of being as the self-revelation of God's personal being spans from God's eternal self-revelation to and in himself in the trinity and from his revelation as creator in the creation to his revelation as savior in the incarnation.

The center of Böhme's thinking is the *Mysterium Magnum*, the revelation of the hidden to the apparent God. This self-revelation of God's personal being is called by Böhme: „the divine revelation or the divine becoming apparent, *die göttliche Offenbarung* (*The Mysterium Magnum is the eternal transition of the hidden to the apparent God in God himself* „, or as one would like it: *the divine revelation (Offenbarung)*. *Jakob Boehme: Von der Gnadenwahl (Of the Choice by Grace) (1623) 2, 21. Cf. for Böhme, Schelling and Baader also P. Koslowski: Philosophien der Offenbarung. Antiker<A[Antiquated\Antique]> Gnostizismus, Franz von Baader, Schelling*): God distinguishes himself in himself as father, as son and as spirit. In this trinity of the divine persons, God's being is apparent as eternally accomplished self.

Schelling followed Böhme in the centrality of the idea of revelation for a theory of personal being or personality. The principle of Schelling's late philosophy, of his *Philosophy of the Revelation*, are the several meanings of the concept of revelation which we already encountered in Jakob Böhme. Revelation does not only mean that somebody declares something up to now hidden through his or her word and reveals something up to now hidden by teaching. Rather, revelation as personal self-revelation means firstly that a person becomes apparent to him- or herself in self-consciousness and secondly that someone either becomes apparent through acts of self-revelation and/or through the revelation in his or her work. In relation to God, the several meanings of revelation of personal being imply that God does not only reveal something hidden before in the revelation through his word or teaching, but that God becomes apparent in his internal self-manifestation of the trinity, that God manifests himself as the creator in the creation, in his work, and that God becomes apparent in history in the actions of his divine incarnation. The broadened concept of revelation contains three elements: first, personal beings become conscious of themselves in the process of self-consciousness, secondly, they manifest themselves as producers in their work, and thirdly, they reveal their self to others in their free acts.

The late Schelling emphasizes these three meanings of the revelation or becoming apparent of personal being. God manifests himself in himself, reveals himself as creator in the work of nature and history, and he reveals himself in the special revelation of the biblical history and of the incarnation. The biblical history or the revelation of the Old and New Testament is for Schelling a revelation through God's deeds, through their character as historical facts caused by deeds.

III.

According to Tertullian, we know, that God is begotten by God and the human being is begotten by the human, however the human being is not begotten by God or God by the human being. The theory of the equality of substance, homo-usia, of God and the human being is rejected by Christian theology as pantheism in which a unity of God and humanity is assumed. God's son is homo-usios, of the same substance, with God, not with the human being. The son is also not created as the creation is made but he is begotten. God's personal being does not become personal through the process of creation. Rather, it is eternally personal in the Trinitarian life. There is an analogy of personal being between God and the human being, an *analogia entis* or *analogia entis personalis*, an analogy of personal being between God and the human. There is an analogy, not an identity of being between God and the human. The conclusion from the absolute personal being to the finite personal being is only possible by analogy, *per analogiam*, not as identity of qualities, not *per identitatem*.

IV.

The human person has a genealogy and a biography. The personal being is generated and born, and not made. Human rights are derived from being born and from being conscious. Genealogy and biography point to two features of human life, the becoming of the species in phylogenetic and ontogenetic respect, and the becoming of the person in its biographical dimension. Genealogy means the history of humankind, the process of its becoming humankind. Into this process of the species our personal life is intertwined.

Biography points to a distinction which already Aristotle has introduced to philosophy, the distinction between *zoe* and *bios*, life and leading a life. We share with the animal world the features of biological life, metabolism, movement, perception, but we transcend it in that we not only live but lead our life. We consciously try to give our life direction, meaning, and destiny.